FCNC in Concurrent Dark Photon & Dark Z Model

Lopamudra Mukherjee

FPCP 2022 University of Mississippi

In Collaboration with Alakabha Datta (UM), Ahmed Hammad (Seoultech), Danny Marfatia (UoH) and Ahmed Rashed (Ain Shams U., Cairo).

May 24, 2022



- Hidden dark sector models have been studied in the past to explain low energy anomalies (such as g - 2 of muon, Atomic Parity Violation (APV), Higgs decays etc.)
 1203.2947, 1304.4935, 2104.03280
- However these models can also contribute to Flavor Changing neutral currents (FCNC) which have long-standing hints of presence of new physics (NP).
- FCNC decays are sensitive probes of NP.
- Alternately, measurements of FCNC processes can strongly constrain many extensions of the SM such as those of the $U(1)_D$.

FCNC in Dark $U(1)_D$ - Formalism

- We assume that Z_D is associated with a U(1)_D gauge symmetry of a dark sector.
- Z_D gauge boson couples to the SM bosons via kinetic mixing, parametrized by ε , and $Z - Z_D$ mass matrix mixing, parametrized by $\varepsilon_Z = (M_{Z_D}/mZ)\delta$.

$$\mathcal{L}_{gauge} = -\frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\cos \theta_W} B_{\mu\nu} Z_D^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} Z_{D\mu\nu} Z_D^{\mu\nu}$$
(1)


• As a consequence of the mixing, the Z_D coupling with the SM results into an interaction Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = \left(-e\varepsilon J_{\mu}^{em} - \frac{g}{2\cos\theta_W}\frac{M_{Z_D}}{m_Z}\delta' J_{\mu}^{NC}\right)Z_D^{\mu}$$
(2)

where, $\delta' \simeq \delta + \frac{M_{Z_D}}{m_Z} \varepsilon \tan \theta_W$

FCNC in Dark $U(1)_D$ - Diagrams






- The FCNC amplitudes with the dark Z decays were calculated by *F. Xu*, *1504.07415* without the dipole term in the amplitude.
- Furthermore, the phenomenology was discussed with the dark boson off shell.
- Here, we fit the parameters of the dark Z model, namely M_{Z_D}, ε & ε_Z, to the available data on the branching fraction of B → K^(*)ℓ⁺ℓ⁻ decays in the bins of q².
- We mostly focus in the mass range $0.01 \le M_{Z_D}/GeV \le 2$.

Hadronic Effects in Decay Width

- In the mass range of interest, Z_D can decay into charged or neutral leptons as well as into light hadrons, if kinematically allowed.
- The region $0.5 < M_{Z_D}/GeV < 2$ is plagued by hadronic resonances such as $\pi\pi$, $\pi^0\gamma$ etc.
- Perturbative QCD fails to provide a reliable way to evaluate vector boson decays into hadrons, so one has to, instead, turn to chiral perturbation theory.
- Earlier analysis did not consider the effect of hadronic resonances in the decay width.
- Using the vector-meson dominance model, one can estimate the decay width to hadrons as done by Foguel et al., JHEP 04 (2022) 119 for light mediators.



Wilson Coefficients

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \left[\sum_{i=1...6} C_i \mathcal{O}_i + \sum_{i=7,8,9,10,S,P} (C_i \mathcal{O}_i + C_i^{'} \mathcal{O}_i^{'}) \right] + h.c.$$
(3)

$$\mathcal{O}_{9} = \frac{e^{2}}{g^{2}}(\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L}b)(\bar{l}\gamma^{\mu}l), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{9}^{'} = \frac{e^{2}}{g^{2}}(\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}P_{R}b)(\bar{l}\gamma^{\mu}l) \qquad (4)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{10} = \frac{e^2}{g^2} (\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu} P_L b) (\bar{l}\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 l), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{10}^{'} = \frac{e^2}{g^2} (\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu} P_R b) (\bar{l}\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 l)$$
(5)


$$\begin{split} M_{Z_{D}} &= \langle H_{2} | \bar{d}_{i} \gamma_{\mu} P_{L/R} d_{j} | \bar{H}_{1} \rangle \left[\{ \left(E_{c_{1},c_{2}}^{0,A} \right)_{L/R} + \left(E_{c_{1},c_{2}}^{0,Z} \right)_{L/R} \} g^{\mu\nu} \right. \\ &+ \left. \{ \left(E_{c_{1},c_{2}}^{2,A} \right)_{L/R} + \left(E_{c_{1},c_{2}}^{2,Z} \right)_{L/R} \} \left(g^{\mu\nu} q^{2} - q^{\mu} q^{\nu} \right) \right] V_{\nu}^{Z_{D}} \\ &+ \left. \langle H_{2} | \bar{d}_{i} i q_{\mu} \sigma^{\mu\nu} P_{L/R} d_{j} | \bar{H}_{1} \rangle \{ \left(M_{c_{1},c_{2}}^{1,A} \right)_{L/R} + \left(M_{c_{1},c_{2}}^{1,Z} \right)_{L/R} \} V_{\nu}^{Z_{D}} \right. \end{split}$$
(6)

Atomic Parity Violation

- A consequence of the sub-GeV dark Z is atomic parity violation.
- The model parameters are tightly constrained from existing data on the weak charge of proton and Caesium atom from parity violating electron scattering experiments.

$$Q_W^{p,SM} = -2g_{AV}^{ep}\left(1 - rac{lpha}{2\pi}
ight); \ \ g_{AV}^{ep} = -1/2 + 2\sin^2 heta_W$$


• In presence of the dark Z, $\sin^2 \theta_W \to \kappa_d \sin^2 \theta_W$ where, $\kappa_d = 1 - \varepsilon (\delta + \frac{M_{Z_D}}{m_Z} \varepsilon \tan \theta_W) \frac{m_Z}{M_{Z_D}} \cot \theta_W f(Q^2)$



Preliminary Results

Case A : Dark Z model

- The best fit point : $M_{Z_D} = 18.5$ MeV, $\varepsilon = 0.00765$, $\varepsilon_Z = 0.00712$
- Pull wrt SM = 4.7
- $\varepsilon_Z = 0.00712 \Rightarrow \delta \sim 35!$ Problem with APV!




Recall : $\varepsilon_Z = (M_{Z_D}/mZ)\delta$

Preliminary Results

Case B : Add a short distance NP

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} \supset g_{XV} \bar{\mu} \gamma^{\mu} \mu Z_{D\mu}$$

- This does not affect APV observables.
- For the fit we fix $\delta = 0.1$ and $\varepsilon = 10^{-4}$ and obtain the best fit at : $M_{Z_D} = 15.4$ MeV, $g_{XV} = -0.22$ with a pull of 4.2 from the SM.



- The dark Z model provides a good explanation of the $B \rightarrow K \ell \ell$ data.
- But we need a very large mixing.
- Short distance NP can help overcome this issue.
- We can relax the APV constraints by considering short-distance NP to the first generation fermions.
- We are also exploring the contributions to $B \to K \nu \nu$ and semileptonic K-meson decays.

- The dark Z model provides a good explanation of the $B \rightarrow K \ell \ell$ data.
- But we need a very large mixing.
- Short distance NP can help overcome this issue.
- We can relax the APV constraints by considering short-distance NP to the first generation fermions.
- We are also exploring the contributions to $B \to K \nu \nu$ and semileptonic K-meson decays.

THANK YOU!